

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/696,636 | 10/29/2003 | Ahmad Akashe | 77060 5534 | | |
| 48940 EITCH EVEN | 7590 03/07/2007 | • | EXAMINER | | |
| FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY 120 S. LASALLE STREET | | | WEIER, ANTHONY J | | |
| SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| J, == | | | 1761 | 1761 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/07/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/696,636 | AKASHE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Anthony Weier | 1761 | |

| | | 1 | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on | the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC | CATION IN CONDITION FO | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with time periods: | olies: (1) an amendment, af Appeal (with appeal fee) in 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31: or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the f | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory | Action, or (2) the date set forth | n in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. Ir |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount d statutory period for reply orion | of the fee. The appropri | iate extension fee |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS | nereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but price | r to the date of filing a brief | f, will <u>not</u> be entered be | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further considera (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | tion and/or search (see NC | TE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form | o for appeal by materially re | duaina ar aimhlifeina | tha ianuaa far |
| appeal; and/or | i loi appear by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresp | onding number of finally re | iected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | 0 , | , | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See | attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). | if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will | not be entered, or b) 🛛 w | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be | elow or appended. | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | · | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 34-53 | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffici was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | or on the date of filing a Neteritory on the date of filing a Neteritory or the affidate of the control of the | lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we have a contracted to the contracted of the contracted o | e all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does | NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/S | | | ` |
| 13. Other: | 5.55) i apoi 110(5). | | D , |
| | | Thursday Andibi | 7 |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1761

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the references as applied teach the invention as claimed. It should be noted that Applicant argues that Goodnight, Jr. et al employs a pH of 6.5 to 7.5 before filtering. However, this recitation in col. 3, is only a preferred pH range. Col. 2 of Goodnight, Jr. et al discloses that a pH as high as 10 may be employed in providing the extract which is then filtered.